

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/779,498                      | 02/09/2001      | Hiroshi Yoshida      | 1095.1157/JDH       | 5527             |  |
| 21171                           | 7590 03/13/2006 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP<br>SUITE 700 |                 |                      | BORISSOV            | BORISSOV, IGOR N |  |
| 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.      |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005            |                 |                      | 3639                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/779,498      | YOSHIDA, HIROSHI | YOSHIDA, HIROSHI |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |                  |  |
| Igor Borissov   | 3639             |                  |  |

|   | Igor Borissov  | 3639   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress                                   |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | R ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c   | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C             | rce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)         |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejecti                              | on.                                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                    |  |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l   | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered by                                   | ecalise                                |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co   |  |  | 00000                                  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br>appeal; and/or   | ter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying                                    | the issues for                         |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).  | •  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | <ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>  | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                            |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | lowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendme                                     | ent canceling the                      |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | ll be entered and an e                                   | explanation of                         |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-23</u> .   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai                                  | ls to provide a                        |
| 10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                  | ied.                                   |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |  | _                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application ir  | n condition for allowar                                  | ice because:                           |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   |  |  |  |
| 13.  Other:   | -2109(   | O VC   |  |
|   | IGOR N. BORISSO  | VER  |  |
|   | IGOR N. BORISSI<br>PRIMARY EXAMIN  |  |  |
|   | 40   |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues because introducing the following limitations: "a client apparatus comprising the registration terminal and the user terminal" into independent Claims 17 and 22 changes the scope of said Claims which was not considered during the prosecution of said claims.